Cognitive Function in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients Taking Metformin and Metformin-Sulfonylurea
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Abstract
The most prescribed antidiabetic drugs in Indonesian primary health care are metformin or a combination of metformin and sulfonylurea. Studies on metformin have shown various impacts on cognitive decline in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, whereas sulfonylurea has been shown to reduce this impact. This study aimed to compare the impacts of metformin and metformin-sulfonylurea on cognitive function and determine what factors affected it. This cross-sectional study was conducted at Pasar Minggu Primary Health Care involving 142 type 2 diabetes mellitus patients taking metformin or metformin-sulfonylurea for >6 months and aged >36 years. Cognitive function was assessed using the validated Montreal Cognitive Assessment Indonesian version. The effects of metformin and metformin-sulfonylurea on cognitive decline showed no significant difference, even after controlling for covariates (aOR = 1.096; 95% CI = 0.523–2.297; p-value = 0.808). Multivariate analysis showed age (OR = 4.131; 95% CI = 1.271–13.428; p-value = 0.018) and education (OR = 2.746; 95% CI = 1.196–6.305; p-value = 0.017) affected cognitive function. Since a lower education and older age are likely to cause cognitive decline, health professionals are encouraged to work with public health experts to address these risk factors for cognitive function.
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therapy considerations. Moreover, it is important to explore other factors that can exacerbate declines in cognitive function so that appropriate intervention steps can be taken. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the effects of metformin and a combination of metformin-sulfonylurea on cognitive function and investigated other factors affecting cognitive function.

Method

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Pasar Minggu Primary Health Care in South Jakarta, Indonesia. Data collection took place between October and December 2021. The T2DM patients of Pasar Minggu Primary Health Care could participate in the study if they met the inclusion criteria, were not disqualified by the exclusion criteria, were willing to be interviewed, and signed an informed consent form. A total of 142 T2DM patients were included in this study. The minimum sample size was calculated using the formula in Formula 1. The minimum sample size was 49 participants per group with a P1 value of 0.67 and a P2 value of 0.35.

All samples in this study were taken from T2DM patients treated at the outpatient polyclinic for noncommunicable diseases at Pasar Minggu Primary Health Care. The data collection process was carried out via a consecutive sampling method. The participants in this study were selected based on the inclusion criteria: T2DM patients who used metformin alone or a combination of metformin and sulfonylurea for at least six months and aged 36 years and over. Metformin was primarily indicated for patients with an HbA1c value of less than 7.5%, while metformin-sulfonylurea was mainly given to patients with an HbA1c value of more than 7.5% or if monotherapy for three months resulted in an HbA1c value of more than 7%. The participants in their late adulthood were selected to distinguish the study subjects from type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients, who are generally younger. Patients were then disqualified based on the exclusion criteria: used insulin, could not read or write, had difficulty in communicating, had mental disorders, diagnosed with dementia, and had mild depression as measured using the Indonesian version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) questionnaire to reduce confounding factors that could affect the study variables. A flowchart of the participants’ selection is shown in Figure 1.

The outcome of this study was cognitive function. Cognitive function refers to problem-solving, learning, thinking, using stored information appropriately, remembering, and paying attention. Cognitive function testing was carried out using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment Indonesian version (MoCA-Ina), which was previously validated. Participants were considered to have not experienced a decline in cognitive function if they had a score ≥ 26.

Patients who met the inclusion criteria were given the BDI-II questionnaire translated into the Indonesian language, which met validity and reliability tests. Patients with a BDI-II score above 17 were declared to have mild depression.

Based on the results of the BDI-II questionnaire, none of the patients in this study had mild depression. Patient demographic data were collected through

\[
n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{Z_{1-\beta}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1-P_2)}{P_1 - P_2} \right)
\]

Notes:
- \( Z_{1-\alpha/2} \) = the normal standard deviation (SD) (5% for type 1 error \( p \)-value<0.05) is 1.96
- \( Z_{1-\beta} \) = the normal SD for 90% power (10% for type 2 error is 1.2816)
- \( P \) = \( (P_1 + P_2)/2 \)
- \( P_1 \) = the proportion of patients using metformin with cognitive decline
- \( P_2 \) = the proportion of patients using metformin-sulfonylurea with cognitive decline

Figure 1. Flowchart of Participants’ Selection
Peripheral blood samples were taken to

Adherence was assessed by combining two measurement tools, the Indonesian version of the Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale (ARMS) and the proportion of days covered (PDC).

The participants were interviewed using the ARMS questionnaire. The PDC data were based on patients’ visits over the last six months through the e-Puskesmas (an electronic system of patients’ visits to primary health care).

Patients were considered adherent if their ARMS score was less than 12 and their PDC value was ≥80%. All the questionnaires (the Indonesian versions of ARMS, BDI-II, and the MoCA) had been through a translation and back-translation process then were tested for validity and reliability.

Peripheral blood samples were taken to measure HbA1c levels using the Abbott Afinion™ instrument. Hypertension and dyslipidemia were documented based on doctors’ written statements in medical records, which means that the criteria for hypertension and dyslipidemia were not determine. Patients were considered smokers if they were current smokers at the time of the interview.

A comparison of the effects of metformin-only and metformin-sulfonylurea on cognitive function was conducted. Univariate analysis was performed to describe patient’s characteristics. To compare the impacts of the therapies on cognitive function, a Chi-square test was performed, where a p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Variables with a p-value of <0.25 in the bivariate test or that theoretically had a significant effect on the function were included in the logistic regression.

Logistic regression was used to control for confounding variables, and the last model was chosen based on the smallest precision value among all the models. To further identify the variables affecting cognitive function, predictive logistic regression using the backward elimination method was conducted. The variables were selected for the same reason as in the first logistic regression (control for variables). Variables with p-value<0.05 in the last model were considered factors affecting cognitive function.

The data analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 28.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics Grad Pack 28.0 for Windows or Mac; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA).

Results

The participants in this study consisted of 142 T2DM patients at Pasar Minggu Primary Health Care. Females outnumbered males in each group, with 54 females (76.05%) in the metformin group and 55 males (77.47%) in the metformin-sulfonylurea group. There was a significant difference between the groups in terms of education (p-value = 0.044), as patients with more than 12 years of education were more dominant in the metformin group. Significant differences between groups were also seen in patients’ HbA1c levels (p-value = 0.005), ARMS scores (p-value = 0.018), levels of adherence (p-value = 0.075), and vitamin B12 supplementation (p-value = 0.022).

The mean age was 59.27 years (SD = 9.2) in the metformin group and 57.90 years (SD = 7.5) in the metformin-sulfonylurea group. There were no significant differences between the groups in age (p-value = 0.373), sex (p-value = 1.000), duration of diabetes (p-value = 0.063), PDC score (p-value = 0.707), body mass index (BMI) (p-value = 0.491), duration of drug consumption (p-value = 1.000), hypertension (p-value = 1.000), dyslipidemia (p-value = 0.595), or smoking (p-value = 1.000).

The participants who experienced a decline in cognitive function significantly outnumbered those who did not (66.90%; 95/142). The proportion of patients aged less than 65 years with normal cognitive function was significantly higher than that of patients aged older than 65 years (p-value = 0.022). Significantly different results were also found in terms of compliance (p-value = 0.024). Although a decline in cognitive function was predominantly observed among females, 71 (74.7%) patients, the difference between the sexes was insignificant. Differences in HbA1c levels were also insignificant despite participants with HbA1c levels of ≥7 being more likely to experience a decline in cognitive function. Education, duration of DM, ARMS score, PDC score, duration of drug consumption, vitamin B12 supplementation, BMI, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking did not significantly increase the odds of cognitive decline (Table 2).

The metformin-sulfonylurea group had more participants who experienced cognitive decline than the metformin group. In the metformin group, the proportion of patients with decreased cognitive function was 63.4%, while that of patients with normal cognitive function was 36.6%. In the metformin-sulfonylurea group, 70.4% of the patients experienced decreased cognitive function. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups (OR = 1.376; 95% CI = 0.682–2.776; p-value = 0.573) (Table 3). To control confounding variables, a multivariate analysis was performed using logistic regression. Bivariate analysis was conducted to select variables that had p-value<0.25, which were age, education, adherence based on the ARMS questionnaire, and comorbid hypertension (Table 2). Sex, HbA1c, B12
supplementation, and BMI were still included in the multivariate analysis because they substantially affected cognitive function. The effect of cognitive function remained insignificant after controlling for confounding variables (Table 4).

Table 5 shows the last model of multivariate analysis using the predictive model. It shows that age (OR = 4.131; 95% CI = 1.271–13.428; p-value = 0.018) and education (OR = 2.746; 95% CI = 1.196–6.305; p-value = 0.017) affected cognitive function.

Discussion

Metformin is an antidiabetic drug widely used alone or in combination with sulfonylureas. Both regimens can affect cognitive function, either positively or negatively. In this study, the participants were predominantly females because they suffered from T2DM at a higher rate than males. Interestingly, males were 35.2% more at risk of experiencing cognitive decline than females, which is in line with the previous study. However, a study found that women tend to experience more cognitive decline than men. Therefore, more study is needed on sex and cognitive decline.

Participants who suffered from T2DM for less than five years used metformin more (64.8%) than participants who suffered from T2DM for more than five years (35.2%). Participants with a T2DM duration of more than five years used metformin-sulfonylurea (52.1%) more than metformin only (47.9%). This condition was caused by uncontrolled blood sugar levels in more participants, so that the treatment target was not reached. The antidiabetic medicines of those patients were combined with therapy, following the guidelines which recommended metformin as the first line of antidiabetic therapy. If a patient’s HbA1c value is more than 7.5% or prescribed with a different mechanism.

Table 1. Participants’ Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Drug Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metformin (n = 71)</td>
<td>Metformin-sulfonylurea (n = 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, n (%)</td>
<td>6–65 years old</td>
<td>6–65 years old</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (%)</td>
<td>&gt;12 years</td>
<td>≤12 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c level, n (%)</td>
<td>≤5 years</td>
<td>&gt;5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMS</td>
<td>≤12</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of days covered (PDC)</td>
<td>≥80%</td>
<td>&lt;80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence, n (%)</td>
<td>Adherent</td>
<td>Non-adherent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of drug consumption, n (%)</td>
<td>&lt;12 months</td>
<td>≥12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12 supplementation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI in category, n (%)</td>
<td>Skinny-normal (&lt;25)</td>
<td>Overweight-obese (&gt;25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: SD = Standard Deviation, HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1C, DM = Diabetes Mellitus, ARMS = Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale, BMI = Body Mass Index
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urea on cognitive function (OR = 1.376; 95% CI = 0.682–2.776; p-value = 0.373). After controlling for education and adherence, there was still no significant difference (aOR = 1.214; 95% CI = 0.590–2.499; p-value = 0.598). These results were in line with several studies that found that these two therapies did not differ significantly regarding their impacts on cognitive function.

This condition can be caused by vitamin B12 supplementation; 59 patients (83.1%) and 46 patients (64.8%) consumed it in the metformin and metformin-sulfonyl-urea group, respectively. The use of long-term metformin has been shown to cause B12 deficiency. B12 deficiency can affect the development and maintenance of the peripheral and central nervous systems. B12 deficiency also affects the blood-brain barrier and thus affects the small blood vessels in the brain. These conditions lead to cognitive decline.26 B12 supplementation can help improve cognitive function.27 However, not all T2DM patients took B12 supplements, which affected the results of this study. The ineffectiveness of treatment,
which resulted in the treatment goals not being achieved, also affected the results. In this study, 67.6% of the metformin group and 87.6% of the metformin-sulfonylurea group had an HbA1c level \( \geq 7 \). According to previous studies, high HbA1c levels result in cognitive function decline.\(^28,29\) The use of sulfonylureas has a high risk of causing hypoglycemia. Cognitive dysfunction in diabetes can be caused by repeated episodes of moderate to a severe hypoglycemia. During an episode of acute hypoglycemia, patients experience impaired global cognitive function and working memory, delayed verbal and visual memory, and impaired visual-spatial and visual-motor skills.\(^{30}\) However, when combined with metformin, sulfonylureas reduce the occurrence of cognitive decline.\(^{31}\) Sulfonylureas also have neuroprotective functions, modulating proinflammatory cytokine release and reducing neuronal loss and necrosis.\(^{32}\) Although the use of sulfonylureas can cause hypoglycemia, which then triggers cognitive decline, supporting the higher proportion of patients with cognitive decline,\(^30\) in the metformin-sulfonylurea group, its neuroprotective effects and the addition of metformin may have contributed to the insignificant difference between groups. Since data on which patients experienced hypoglycemia were unavailable, further study is needed to confirm this finding.

This study’s results demonstrate that metformin only and metformin-sulfonylurea did not affect cognitive function. Therefore, to identify the factors that affect cognitive function, a predictive model was created, and a logistic regression was performed using the enter method. The result revealed that age and education affected cognitive function. Previous studies have found that education is a nonmedical protective factor against cognitive decline.\(^{33,34}\) The lower the level of education, the higher the risk of cognitive decline. Individuals with higher le-
vessels of education are not only at lower risk for cognitive distraction, but also show better cognitive performance than those with low education.33

Education is thought to play a role in increasing resistance to neurodegenerative processes. Experiences gained during education, such as continuous exposure to cognitive stimulation and opportunities to gain knowledge and skills, affect an individual’s cognitive ability.34 Furthermore, age is associated with physiological functional decline in various organ systems, including the psychomotor system and cognitive function in the brain. Changes in anatomy and physiology that inevitably occur during aging affect cognitive function.35 The age difference between DM patients can also explain why some experience neurocognitive morbidity that is clinically significant while most are unaffected.

Cognitive decline has been shown to significantly increase morbidity and mortality and reduce the quality of life, increasing the public health burden.36 People with cognitive decline are at risk of having other neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease, which increases the cost burden per patient by as much as USD6,784.37 A decline in cognitive function can interfere with self-care management behaviors, such as adherence to medication. As education and age can affect cognitive decline, people in the public health sector should be encouraged to pay more attention to nonmedical factors that affect cognitive decline. For populations with less than 12 years of education, special education sessions and health promotion can be implemented to develop knowledge, attitude, and behavior about the importance of good medication management.

Elderly patients need special attention from health professionals to manage their treatment. Collaboration between health professionals has been shown to improve the quality of patient care in the long term.38 Programs in Indonesian primary health care, such as the Prolanis and Integrated Service Post for Older People/Pos Pelayanan Terpadu Lansia (Posyandu Lansia), can be a means for health providers to encourage the elderly with cognitive decline to visit primary health care facilities to monitor and treat their diseases and achieve optimal quality of life and prevent complications.39,40 At any rate, health professionals are encouraged to work with public health experts to address the effect of medical and non-medical factors on patient health status.

Strengths and Limitations
This study has some limitations, one of which is its cross-sectional design. A cross-sectional design cannot determine the causal factors of the study variables. Second, this study was only conducted at one primary health care. Hence, selection bias might have affected the validity of the results, as the sample was not representative of the overall population in Indonesia. Moreover, the sample size was limited and predominantly comprised women, thus limiting statistical power.

However, the inclusion and exclusion criteria, including the minimum antidiabetic therapy duration, helped reduce the limitation. The MoCA-Ina instrument used to measure cognitive function also had high validity and reliability. The metformin and metformin-sulfonylurea groups, the most widely used therapies for T2DM in the primary health care, were examined. Therefore, the results could be useful for assessing the safety of antidiabetic therapies in the community. Given the limitations of the study and the widespread use of metformin and its combination with a sulfonylurea, further study is needed.

Conclusion
This study does not find a significant difference between the impacts of metformin only and the combination of metformin-sulfonylurea on cognitive function. Even though confounding variables are controlled for, the results are not statistically significant. The factors that most affect cognitive decline are education and age.
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