**Author response to Editors’ Comment**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Editors’ / reviewers’ comment** | **Response to comment** | **Lines** |
| **1** | Ensure that the abstract consists of the introduction, aim, method, results, and conclusion. No need to write a recommendation in the abstract. Just write it at the end of the discussion in the text. | Revised in abstract | **21 - 39** |
| **2** | Write in italic. | Revised in abstract | **23** |
| **3** | Past tense | Revised in abstract | **25** |
| **4** | Write in detail. Who is the informant? What is the question? What information you want to get from the informant, so that it will relate to the results. | Revised in abstract | **26 -30** |
| **5** | No need to write the recommendation. Write the results and conclusion. | Revised in abstract | **30** |
| **6** | This is not the result. This is still recommendation. | Revised in abstract | **32** |
| **7** | Write systematically and in detail.  -Do you use the in-depth interview or FGD method?  -Which qualitative: exploratory, phenomenal, or otherwise?  -When is it done?  -Who are the informants and how many are there?: eg nutritionist, environmentalist, pharmacys, Midfiwe, etc.  -What are the instruments? (What are the questions asked, does each informant have the same questions? etc.)  -Data processing method (details): Recording? Any software? If so, do not forget to write the license.  -Method of data analysis: Thematic/ content analysis? Or other?  -The themes that will be discussed need to be indicated here so that the reader can know the author's line of thought.  -Is there trisangulation? Explain where and how.  -Provide the results with tables, pictures, quotations, or others.  In the results, write a narrative about the results obtained according to the method written. Therefore, between methods and results must be in sync.  In the results, there is no opinion of the author; The author's opinion is in the discussion section.  Give the characteristics of the respondent first: Name (pseudonym/code), age, position/role, or others to see how many informants and their respective roles in the topic being discussed.  Then give an introduction about what will be shown next, so that the reader knows the author's line of thought. | Revised in method | **108 -131** |
| **8** | Kode-kode ini berikan di dalam tabel karakteristik (komentar paling atas) | Revised | **108 - 109** |
| **9** | In the results, write a narrative about the results obtained according to the method written. Therefore, between methods and results must be in sync.  In the results, there is no opinion of the author; The author's opinion is in the discussion section.  Give the characteristics of the respondent first: Name (pseudonym/code), age, position/role, or others to see how many informants and their respective roles in the topic being discussed.  Then give an introduction about what will be shown next, so that the reader knows the author's line of thought. | Revised | **134 - 144** |
| **10** | Make a resume first what the findings from the research (results above), then discuss.  How to discuss:  Thematic from the resume about the results obtained (but not repeating the results) compared with the results of other research/programs/policies/practices, or other sources; after that, the author is should give an opinion on the two groups of statements above (results and from other sources). Opinions can be supported by other references and can lead to suggestions / recommendations.  Do not forget to make another resume about the results of the discussion at the end (leading to the conclusion).  At the very end, it is necessary to give what are the advantages and disadvantages of this research. If there is a bias, how to anticipate it/minimize the bias; what should be done in the future for better research. | Revised | **306 -320**  **322 -327**  **346 – 351**  **354 – 355**  **366 – 371**  **389 – 391**  **399 - 411** |
| **10** | Conclusion is written based on the results of discussions (not research results). The conclusion you wrote is still like a discussion.  There are several recommendations written in the conclusion section; this should be discussed first in the discussion section (it doesn't suddenly appear as a suggestion/recommendation). | Revised | **414 – 420**  **423 – 424**  **426 - 429** |
| **11** | If the discussion develops as comments are given, the references will increase. Use references based on online media and provide the URL/link for each reference. Use references in the last 10 years. | Revised  For WHO reference on declaration of Alma ata, there is no updated references | **471 – 475**  **515 – 518**  **545 - 548** |